

**Please note the following: your answers will be graded only if you have completed the three obligatory home assignments before the exam!**

**Assignment 1** (1 + 2 + 7p)

Two 3-bit binary numbers in the range 0 to 7 are represented by the sequences of propositional variables  $x_2x_1x_0$  and  $y_2y_1y_0$ , where  $x_2$  and  $y_2$  are respectively the most significant bits of the numbers.

1. Construct a propositional formula which is true if and only if the value of the binary number  $x_2x_1x_0$  is even.
2. Construct a propositional formula that is true if and only if binary numbers  $x_2x_1x_0$  and  $y_2y_1y_0$  are not equal.
3. Construct a propositional formula that is true if and only if  $x_2x_1x_0 = y_2y_1y_0 + 001$  holds, that is, the first number is one less than the second number.

**Assignment 2** (2 + 3 + 5p)

- (a) Let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  be sentences of the propositional logic. If  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are satisfiable, is  $(\phi_1 \vee \neg\phi_1) \wedge \phi_2$  satisfiable? Is it valid? Justify your answer.
- (b) Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_5\}$  be the atomic propositions. Give five formulas (in the propositional logic) that respectively have
  1. 0 models,
  2. 1 model,
  3. 2 models,
  4. 3 models, and
  5.  $2^5$  models.
- (c) Let  $\phi$  be any sentence in the propositional logic that consists of atomic propositions as well as connectives  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$  and  $\neg$ , with at most one occurrence of each atomic proposition in  $\phi$ . Claim:  $\phi$  is satisfiable. Is this claim necessarily true? Give a proof sketch or give a counter-example.

**Assignment 3** (10p) Prove the following claims using semantic tableaux:

- (a)  $\models (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow (A \wedge \neg B \leftrightarrow B \wedge \neg A)$ .
- (b)  $\models \forall x(\exists yR(x,y) \rightarrow P(x)) \rightarrow \forall y\forall x(P(x) \vee \neg R(x,y))$ .

Tableau proofs must contain all intermediate steps !!!

**Assignment 4** (10p) Derive a Prenex normal form and a clausal form (i.e., a set of clauses  $S$ ) for the sentence  $\neg(\exists x(P(x) \vee \forall yQ(x,y)) \rightarrow \exists y(P(y) \vee Q(y,y)))$ .

Make  $S$  as simple as possible. Prove that  $S$  is unsatisfiable using resolution.

**Assignment 5** (10p)

Explain how the *weakest precondition*  $B_1$  of an if-statement

if ( $B$ ) then  $\{C_1\}$  else  $\{C_2\}$

can be formed given a postcondition  $B_2$  for it.

Consider the following program Double:

$v=0; z=x; \text{while}(! (z=0)) \{z=z-1; v=v+2\}.$

Use weakest preconditions and a suitable invariant to establish

$\models_p [\text{true}] \text{Double} [v=2 * x].$