

**Please note the following: your answers will be graded only if you have completed the three obligatory home assignments before the exam!**

**Assignment 1** (1 + 1 + 8p)

Two 3-bit binary numbers in the range 0 to 7 are represented by the sequences of propositional variables  $x_2x_1x_0$  and  $y_2y_1y_0$ , where  $x_2$  and  $y_2$  are respectively the most significant bits of the numbers.

1. Construct a propositional formula that is true if and only if  $x_2x_1x_0$  is obtained from  $y_2y_1y_0$  by doing a rotation one bit to the right.
2. Construct a propositional formula which is true if and only if the value of the binary number  $x_2x_1x_0$  is 6.
3. Construct a propositional formula that is true if and only if the relation  $x_2x_1x_0 \leq y_2y_1y_0$  holds, that is, the first number is less than or equal to the second number.

**Assignment 2** (2 + 3 + 5p) Let  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$ , and  $\phi_3$  be sentences of the propositional logic. Justify your answer in each case.

- (a) If  $\phi_1$  is satisfiable and  $\phi_2$  is valid, is  $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$  satisfiable? Is it valid?
- (b) Assume that  $\phi_1 \models \phi_2$  and  $\neg(\neg\phi_2 \vee \phi_3)$  is not satisfiable. What can you say about the relation between  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_3$ ?
- (c) Let  $\phi$  be any sentence in the propositional logic that consists of atomic propositions as well as connectives  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$  and  $\neg$ , with at most one occurrence of each atomic proposition in  $\phi$ . Claim:  $\phi$  is satisfiable. Is this claim necessarily true? Give a proof sketch or give a counter-example.

**Assignment 3** (10p) Prove the following claims using semantic tableaux:

- (a)  $\models (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((C \rightarrow D) \rightarrow (A \wedge C \rightarrow B \wedge D))$ .
- (b)  $\models \neg(\exists x \forall y \exists z \neg P(x, y, z) \wedge \forall z \exists y \forall x P(z, y, x))$ .

Tableau proofs must contain all intermediate steps !!!

**Assignment 4** (10p) Derive a Prenex normal form and a clausal form (i.e., a set of clauses  $S$ ) for the sentence  $\neg(\exists x(A(x) \vee B(x) \vee C(x)) \rightarrow \exists x A(x) \vee \exists y B(y) \vee \exists z C(z))$ .

Make  $S$  as simple as possible. Prove that  $S$  is unsatisfiable using resolution.

**Assignment 5** (10p)

- (a) Derive for the program `if (x < y) then {z = y - x} else {z = x - y}` the *weakest precondition* starting from the *postcondition*  $(z > 0)$ . (4p)
- (b) Consider the following program Triple:

`v = x ; z = x ; while (! (z == 0)) { z = z - 1 ; v = v + 2 }.`

Use weakest preconditions and a suitable invariant (6p) to establish

$$\models_p [\text{true}] \text{Triple} [v == 3 * x].$$